

Period Matrices of Real Riemann Surfaces and Fundamental Domains

Pietro Giavedoni

March 1, 2012

Abstract

For some positive integers g and n we consider a subgroup $G_{g,n}$ of the $2g$ -dimensional modular group keeping invariant a certain locus $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$ in the Siegel upper half plane of degree g . We address the problem of describing a fundamental domain for the modular action of the subgroup on $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$. Our motivation comes from geometry: g and n represent the genus and the number of ovals of a generic real Riemann surface of separated type; the locus $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$ contains the corresponding period matrix computed with respect to some specific basis in the homology. In this paper we formulate a general procedure to solve the problem when g is even and n equals one. For g equal to two or four the explicit calculations are worked out in full details.

1 Introduction

The *Siegel upper half plane of degree g* , usually denoted $\mathbb{H}_g$, is defined as the space of $g \times g$ complex, symmetric matrices whose imaginary part is positive definite. The *modular group of dimension $2g$* is the group of $2g \times 2g$ symplectic matrices whose entries are integer numbers. We will denote it by the symbol $\mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. There exists a well-known action of the modular group on the Siegel upper half plane, the so called *modular action*:

$$\mathfrak{M} : \mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{H}_g \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_g.$$

To every matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$$

and every point $\mathbf{w}$ of $\mathbb{H}_g$ it associates

$$\mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w}) = (P\mathbf{w} + Q)(R\mathbf{w} + S)^{-1}.$$

Now, let g and n be positive integers such that

$$g + 1 = 2p + n$$

SISSA - Via Bonomea 265 - 34136, Trieste - Italy. E-mail: giave@sissa.it

for some non-negative integer p . Let us define the space

$$\mathcal{W}_{g,n} = \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{H}_g \text{ such that } V\mathbf{w}V = -\overline{\mathbf{w}}\}$$

where the matrix V is given by

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id_p & 0 \\ Id_p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Id_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us also introduce the group

$$\mathbb{G}_{g,n} = \{G \in \mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } GT = TG\}$$

where T denotes the $2g \times 2g$ matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & -V \end{pmatrix}.$$

The modular action can be restricted to an action of $\mathbb{G}_{g,n}$ on the space $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$: for every matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{G}_{g,n}$$

and every point

$$\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{g,n}$$

one has that¹

$$\mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{W}_{g,n}.$$

We wish to address the problem of a fundamental domain for this action of the group $\mathbb{G}_{g,n} \subset \mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ on the space $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$. Its definition is recalled here below:

Definition 1.1. *We say that two points $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ of $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$ are equivalent if there exists $G \in \mathbb{G}_{g,n}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w}_2).$$

A fundamental domain for $\mathfrak{M}$ is a closed subset $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$ whose interior part is connected, which satisfies the following three properties:

- i.** *For every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{g,n}$ there exists an equivalent point $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ belonging to $\mathcal{D}$.*
- ii.** *If two distinct points, $\mathbf{w}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$, belonging to $\mathcal{D}$, are equivalent, then they both belong to the boundary of $\mathcal{D}$.*

¹In fact, from the definition of $\mathbb{G}_{g,n}$ one can immediately deduce the following relations:

$$P = VPV, \quad Q = -VQV, \quad R = -VRV, \quad S = VSV. \quad (1)$$

Now let us put

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = (P\mathbf{w} + Q)(R\mathbf{w} + S)^{-1}.$$

Applying (1), one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} V\tilde{\mathbf{w}}V &= [(VPV)(V\mathbf{w}V) + (VQV)][(VRV)(V\mathbf{w}V) + (VSV)]^{-1} \\ &= -[P\overline{\mathbf{w}} + Q][R\overline{\mathbf{w}} + S]^{-1} = -\overline{\tilde{\mathbf{w}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, this implies that $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$.

iii. Every set of equivalent points contained in $\mathcal{D}$ has a finite number of elements.

The case in which n equals $g + 1$ is easily reconducted to the Reduction Theory of positive definite quadratic forms and was already studied by several mathematicians from the last century. (The first achievements in a general treatment of this topic are due to Minkowski [1]; see [10] for an account on more recent results.)

In this article we solve the problem when $g = 2g_0$ is an even number, and n equals one. In this case, the space $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$ has the following simple characterization:

$$\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1} = \left\{ \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{H}_g \text{ such that } \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} & \mathbf{x} \\ -\overline{\mathbf{x}} & -\overline{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}; \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x} \in \text{Mat}(g_0, \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

For the group $G_{2g_0,1}$, instead, one has

$$G_{2g_0,1} = \left\{ G \in \mathbf{Sp}(4g_0, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } G = \begin{bmatrix} A & B & C & D \\ B & A & -D & -C \\ E & F & G & H \\ -F & -E & H & G \end{bmatrix} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

It is understood that the matrices A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H belong to $\text{Mat}(g_0, \mathbb{Z})$.

Our main motivation in considering this problem comes from the theory of *real Riemann surfaces* (see [3] and [5] for a complete account of this subject).

A compact Riemann surface Γ of genus g is said to be *real* or *symmetric* when it is endowed with an anti-holomorphic involution r .

One can consider the locus of points which are invariant with respect to r . Its connected components are at most $g + 1$ and they are usually called the *ovals* of the surface.

When Γ with this locus removed has two connected components, it is said to be *separated*. Let this be the case, and assume² that the number of ovals is n . Then it is possible to fix a basis in the homology of the form

$$\begin{array}{l} a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_p, a''_1, a''_2, \dots, a''_p, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \\ b'_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_p, b''_1, b''_2, \dots, b''_p, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \end{array} \quad (3)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

•

$$a'_k \circ b'_k = a''_k \circ b''_k = a_j \circ b_j = 1 \quad (4)$$

for every $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and every $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, the intersection number of any two other elements of the basis being zero.

²In the case of a separated surface one has that

$$1 \leq n \leq g + 1$$

and

$$n \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}.$$

For more details see [3], theorem 1.5.3

•

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\star(a'_k) &= -a''_k, & k = 1, 2, \dots, p; \\
r_\star(b'_k) &= b''_k, & k = 1, 2, \dots, p; \\
r_\star(a_j) &= -a_j, & j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; \\
r_\star(b_j) &= b_j, & j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $r_\star$ denotes the morphism of the homology into itself induced by r .

Given any Riemann surface (not necessarily a real one) with a fixed basis in the homology $\mathcal{B}$, one can compute the corresponding *period matrix*³. This is a point in the Siegel upper half plane (see [2] and [5] for more details).

Any other basis in the homology $\mathcal{B}$ on the same surface can be expressed in terms of the cycles of $\mathcal{B}$ by means of a modular matrix.

If this is blockwise written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$$

then the corresponding period matrices $\mathbf{t}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ are related by the modular transformation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{t}} = (P\mathbf{t} + Q)(R\mathbf{t} + S)^{-1}.$$

Now, in the case of a real Riemann surface with a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of the form (3-5), the period matrix belongs to the space $\mathcal{W}_{g,n}$. Moreover, the changes of basis in the homology which preserve the form (3-5) are exactly the ones given by matrices of $\mathbb{G}_{g,n}$.

Real Riemann surfaces were extensively applied in the theory of integrable systems (see [11]). In [6], the solution of our problem in one particular case was successfully applied to the study of the parameter space of algebro-geometric solutions of the KP-2 equation.

As we have already said, in this paper we formulate a general procedure to determine a fundamental domain for the modular action of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ on the space $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$. We briefly sketch our methods and results here below:

Our first step consists in a reformulation of the problem (Section 2).

Let us denote with $\mathbf{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ the group of all g -dimensional, unimodular matrices with integer entries. Let us also introduce the space $Sym_{>0}(g, \mathbb{R})$ of all real symmetric and positive definite matrices of dimension g .

The *congruent action*

$$\mathbb{C} : Sym_{>0}(g, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow Sym_{>0}(g, \mathbb{R}) \tag{6}$$

³In this procedure, the normalized holomorphic differentials

$$\omega'_1, \omega'_2, \dots, \omega'_p, \omega''_1, \omega''_2, \dots, \omega''_p, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$$

are involved. Throughout this paper we will use the convention

$$\oint_{a'_k} \omega'_k = \oint_{a''_k} \omega''_k = \oint_{a_j} \omega_j = 1$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

is defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{C}(\Sigma, G) = G^T \Sigma G. \quad (7)$$

The modular and the congruent actions are closely related by a result of Siegel's (Theorem 2.1): There exists an injective and smooth map

$$\Sigma : \mathbb{H}_g \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_{>0}(g, \mathbb{R})$$

such that the following diagram is commutative for every G belonging to $\mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}_g & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}(G, \bullet)} & \mathbb{H}_g \\ \downarrow \Sigma & & \downarrow \Sigma \\ \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(\bullet, G^{-1})} & \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g, \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \quad G \in \mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (8)$$

Let us define the space

$$\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} = \Sigma(\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}).$$

The congruent action can be restricted to an action of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$. Now, let $\mathcal{D}'$ be a fundamental domain for this last one, and put

$$\mathcal{D} = \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{D}').$$

Using Siegel's result one can show that the set $\mathcal{D}$ so defined is a fundamental domain for the modular action restricted to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$. The original issue can then be reformulated as the quest of such a $\mathcal{D}'$.

The main technical tool to tackle this new problem is the map $\mathbf{P}$, introduced in Section 3. Due to its several remarkable properties this might turn out to be of general interest by itself, beyond its role in this specific context. To the best of our knowledge, this map was never considered in the literature before. The domain of $\mathbf{P}$ is the set of all $4g_0$ -dimensional, real and symplectic matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \beta & \alpha & -\delta & -\gamma \\ \pi & \rho & \xi & \eta \\ -\rho & -\pi & \eta & \xi \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(4g_0, \mathbb{R}).$$

Both $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ are contained in it. Its explicit definition is very simple:

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \beta & \alpha & -\delta & -\gamma \\ \pi & \rho & \xi & \eta \\ -\rho & -\pi & \eta & \xi \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \beta & \gamma - \delta \\ \pi + \rho & \xi - \eta \end{bmatrix}$$

After this, $\mathbf{P}$ turns out to be a bijection onto $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$, the space of all real and invertible matrices of dimension $2g_0$. It maps $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$ onto $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$.

Moreover $\mathbf{P}$ respects both the matrix product and transposition. As a consequence the following diagram is commutative for every G belonging to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(_,G)} & \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} \\ \downarrow \mathbf{P} & & \downarrow \mathbf{P} \\ \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(_,\mathbf{P}(G))} & \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \quad G \in \mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1} \quad (9)$$

This construction allows a further reformulation of the problem, in the same spirit as above:

Let us consider the group $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ defined as

$$\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}).$$

This is a finite-index subgroup of $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$. Obviously, the congruent action can be restricted to an action of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$; let $\mathcal{D}''$ be a fundamental domain for it.

Due to the properties of $\mathbf{P}$ the set $\mathcal{D}'$ defined as

$$\mathcal{D}' = \mathbf{P}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}'')$$

turns out to be a fundamental domain for the congruent action restricted to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$. The original problem is then equivalent to the quest of such a $\mathcal{D}''$.

This second reformulation appears in Section 4. It is given the name of Reduction of the Problem because it halves, so to say, the dimension of the matrices involved in the issue.

The advantage of these subsequent reformulations is that we finally get to an explicitly solvable problem.

Indeed, the Minkowski Reduction Theory provides us with a fundamental domain for the congruent action of the whole group $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$. On the other side, the index of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ in $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite.

In view of these two facts, a fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}''$ for the congruent action of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$ can be computed by means of standard “gluing” techniques.

The case in which $2g_0$ equals 2 is particularly interesting (Section 5). It exhibits the remarkable peculiarity that the group $\mathbb{K}_{2,1}$ coincides with the whole $\mathbf{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. As a consequence, the two reformulations above reconvert the original problem to a classical one already solved: the reduction of positive definite binary quadratic forms. No usage of “gluing” techniques is required in this case.

The formulas expressing the result are very simple: Let us denote by

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma + i\delta & i\beta \\ i\beta & -\gamma + i\delta \end{pmatrix} \quad \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R} \quad (10)$$

the generic element of $\mathcal{W}_{2,1}$; a fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$ for the modular action $\mathfrak{M}$ of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2,1} \subset \mathbf{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ on this last one is given by the following system

of inequalities:

$$\mathcal{D} : \begin{cases} 1 \leq \gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2 \\ 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \delta > |\beta| \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Moreover, a deeper understanding of the mathematical structure is possible in this case:

Let G belong to $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$. One has that either

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & -c \\ e & 0 & g & 0 \\ 0 & -e & 0 & g \end{bmatrix}, \quad ag - ec = 1 \quad (12)$$

or

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b & 0 & d \\ b & 0 & -d & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & h \\ -f & 0 & h & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad bh - fd = 1. \quad (13)$$

Introduce, on $\mathcal{W}_{2,1}$, the new system of coordinates

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\delta - \beta}{\delta + \beta}, \quad \tau(\mathbf{w}) = \gamma + i\sqrt{\delta^2 - \beta^2}, \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1}.$$

Now consider a modular transformation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1}, \quad g \in \mathbb{G}_{2,1}.$$

In the new coordinates it acts as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{w})$$

and

$$\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \frac{a\tau(\mathbf{w}) + c}{e\tau(\mathbf{w}) + g}$$

if G has the form (12), or

$$\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \frac{\overline{b\tau(\mathbf{w})} - d}{f\tau(\mathbf{w}) - h}$$

if (13) holds, instead.

In other words, we find out that $\mathcal{I}$ is an invariant quantity: it is not affected by the modular action of $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$, which concentrates only on the second coordinate τ . This last one just undergoes a Moebius transformation, in some cases composed with a complex conjugation.

The theory developed in Sections 2 and 3 allowed us to individuate the higher dimensional analogue of the invariant quantity $\mathcal{I}$ and to prove that also in this case it is not affected by the modular action of $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ (Section 4). A full understanding of the geometrical meaning of this quantity has not yet been achieved though, and it is meant to be part of a work in progress.

Finally, the explicit calculation of a fundamental domain has been worked out in the case when $2g_0$ equals 4. The result is not so simple to write down; a rather detailed account of the formulas there involved can be found in Section 6.

2 Reformulation of the Problem

In this section we show how the original problem can be reformulated in terms of a restriction of the congruent action $\mathfrak{C}$, introduced in (6-7).

For every matrix

$$\mathbf{w} = \lambda + i\mu \in \mathbb{H}_g$$

belonging to the Siegel upper half plane of degree g , let us define

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{-1} & -\mu^{-1}\lambda \\ -\lambda\mu^{-1} & \mu + \lambda\mu^{-1}\lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{H}_g. \quad (14)$$

This map already appeared in Siegel's investigations on the modular group, from which we drew some inspiration.

It relates the modular and the congruent actions:

Theorem 2.1. *i_ Σ is a bijection onto the space of all real, symmetric and positive definite matrices of dimension $2g$ which are also symplectic.*

ii_ For every G belonging to the modular group, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}_g & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}(G, \cdot)} & \mathbb{H}_g \\ \downarrow \Sigma & & \downarrow \Sigma \\ \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(\cdot, G^{-1})} & \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g, \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \quad G \in \mathbf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (15)$$

For a proof see [4], pag. 148, theorem 1.

Now, since the original problem is concerned with the proper subset $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1} \subset \mathbb{H}_{2g_0}$, let us introduce the space

$$\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} := \Sigma(\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}).$$

In view of point ii of theorem 2.1, it is easy to realize that $\mathfrak{C}$ can be restricted to an action of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$. Moreover the following diagram is commutative, for every G belonging to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}(G, \cdot)} & \mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1} \\ \downarrow \Sigma & & \downarrow \Sigma \\ \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(\cdot, G^{-1})} & \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} \end{array} \quad G \in \mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1} \quad (16)$$

This immediately gives the following

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}'$ be a fundamental domain for the congruent action of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1} \subset \mathbf{Sp}(4g_0, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$. Then the set*

$$\mathcal{D} := \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{D}')$$

is a fundamental domain for the modular action of the same group $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$.

In view of this, the original problem is equivalent to the quest of such a $\mathcal{D}'$. To this purpose, a more explicit characterization of $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$ will be useful:

Proposition 2.3. *The set $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$ consists of all real, symplectic, symmetric and positive definite matrices Σ of dimension $4g_0$, which have the following form*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \beta & \alpha & -\delta & -\gamma \\ \gamma^T & -\delta^T & \xi & \eta \\ \delta^T & -\gamma^T & \eta & \xi \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(4g_0, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{Sym}_{>0}(4g_0, \mathbb{R}) \quad (17)$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \xi$ and η belonging to $\text{Mat}(g_0, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. In view of theorem 2.1, point **i**, it will be sufficient to prove that a matrix $\mathbf{w}$ of the Siegel upper half plane belongs to $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$ if and only if its image $\Sigma(\mathbf{w})$ has the form (17). This last condition is equivalent to the relation

$$T[\Sigma(\mathbf{w})]T = \Sigma(\mathbf{w}) \quad (18)$$

where

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & -V \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Id \\ Id & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Using the explicit definition (14), one can show that (18) holds if and only if the following relations do:

$$V\lambda V = -\lambda, \quad V\mu V = \mu.$$

But this happens if and only if $\mathbf{w}$ belongs to $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$, so the proof is complete. $\square$

Next sections will be dedicated to determine a fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}'$ for the congruent action of $\mathbf{G}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$.

3 Reduction Toolkit

In this section we introduce some objects which will be, so to say, useful tools in our task.

Let us start with an auxiliary map. Consider the vector space

$$\mathcal{V}_{2g_0,1} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \beta & \alpha & -\delta & -\gamma \\ \pi & \rho & \xi & \eta \\ -\rho & -\pi & \eta & \xi \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}(4g_0, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

It is understood that the matrices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \pi, \rho, \xi$ and η belong to $\text{Mat}(g_0, \mathbb{R})$. We define the map

$$\mathbf{G} : \mathcal{V}_{2g_0,1} \longrightarrow \text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$$

as follows:

$$\mathbf{G}(\Sigma) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \beta & \gamma - \delta \\ \pi + \rho & \xi - \eta \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \alpha - \beta & \gamma + \delta \\ \pi - \rho & \xi + \eta \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad \forall \Sigma \in \mathcal{V}_{2g_0,1}. \quad (20)$$

Let us also introduce the notation

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Id \\ -Id & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for the *standard symplectic matrix*. Its dimension will be clear from the context.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Σ and Σ' belong to $\mathcal{V}_{2g_0,1}$ and put*

$$\mathbf{G} = (\sigma, \tau), \quad \mathbf{G}(\Sigma') = (\sigma', \tau').$$

One has the following⁴:

i. $\mathbf{G}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces from $\mathcal{V}_{2g_0,1}$ to $\text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$.

ii. $\mathbf{G}(\Sigma\Sigma') = (\sigma\sigma', \tau\tau')$

iii. $\mathbf{G}(\Sigma^T) = (\sigma^T, \tau^T)$

iv. Σ is symmetric and positive definite if and only if both σ and τ are.

v. Σ is symplectic if and only if

$$(-J\tau^T J)\sigma = Id. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Points **i**, **ii** and **iii** can be verified by means of a straightforward calculation.

iv. Since $\mathbf{G}$ is bijective (see point **i**), one has that

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^T \iff \mathbf{G}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{G}(\Sigma^T)$$

but by point **iii**,

$$\mathbf{G}(\Sigma^T) = (\sigma^T, \tau^T)$$

so

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^T \iff (\sigma, \tau) = (\sigma^T, \tau^T)$$

and Σ is symmetric if and only if both σ and τ are; let us suppose that this is the case. One can verify that the two identities

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha}^T & \underline{\beta}^T \end{pmatrix} \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha} \\ \underline{\beta} \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha}^T & \underline{\alpha}^T & \underline{\beta}^T & -\underline{\beta}^T \end{pmatrix} \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha} \\ \underline{\beta} \\ \underline{\beta} \\ -\underline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha}^T & \underline{\beta}^T \end{pmatrix} \tau \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha} \\ \underline{\beta} \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha}^T & -\underline{\alpha}^T & \underline{\beta}^T & \underline{\beta}^T \end{pmatrix} \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha} \\ \underline{\beta} \\ -\underline{\alpha} \\ \underline{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

⁴The space $\mathcal{V}_{2g_0,1}$ is closed with respect to matrix product. Indeed, a $4g_0$ -dimensional, real matrix belongs to $\mathcal{V}_{2g_0,1}$ if and only if it satisfies the relation

$$T\Sigma T = \Sigma.$$

where T is the idempotent matrix defined in (19).

hold true for every column vector $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}$ belonging to $\mathbb{R}^{g_0}$. This implies that if Σ is positive definite, then also σ and τ are.

Viceversa, the quadratic form given by Σ can be expressed in terms of the quadratic forms corresponding to σ and τ in the following way:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha}^T & \underline{\beta}^T & \underline{\gamma}^T & \underline{\delta}^T \end{pmatrix} \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha} \\ \underline{\beta} \\ \underline{\gamma} \\ \underline{\delta} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\underline{\alpha} + \underline{\beta})^T & (\underline{\gamma} - \underline{\delta})^T \end{pmatrix} \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha} + \underline{\beta} \\ \underline{\gamma} - \underline{\delta} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (\underline{\alpha} - \underline{\beta})^T & (\underline{\gamma} + \underline{\delta})^T \end{pmatrix} \tau \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\alpha} - \underline{\beta} \\ \underline{\gamma} + \underline{\delta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, if both σ and τ are positive definite, then also Σ is.

v. By definition, Σ is symplectic if and only if

$$\Sigma^T J \Sigma = J$$

which, by carrying out the products in the left-hand side, reduces to the following system of matrix-equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\pi^T \alpha + \rho^T \beta + \alpha^T \pi - \beta^T \rho = 0 \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{cases} -\pi^T \beta + \rho^T \alpha + \alpha^T \rho - \beta^T \pi = 0 \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{cases} -\xi^T \gamma + \eta^T \delta + \gamma^T \xi - \delta^T \eta = 0 \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{cases} -\xi^T \delta + \eta^T \gamma + \gamma^T \eta - \delta^T \xi = 0 \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{cases} -\pi^T \gamma - \rho^T \delta + \alpha^T \xi + \beta^T \eta = Id \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{cases} -\pi^T \delta - \rho^T \gamma + \alpha^T \eta + \beta^T \xi = Id \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Summing and subtracting (22) and (23) one gets the equations

$$\begin{cases} -(\pi - \rho)^T (\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha - \beta)^T (\pi + \rho) = 0 \\ -(\pi + \rho)^T (\alpha - \beta) + (\alpha + \beta)^T (\pi - \rho) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which are equivalent one to the other. So (22) and (23) together are equivalent to the unique equation

$$-(\pi - \rho)^T (\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha - \beta)^T (\pi + \rho) = 0.$$

Analogously, (24) and (25) together are equivalent to

$$-(\xi - \eta)^T (\gamma + \delta) + (\gamma - \delta)^T (\xi + \eta) = 0.$$

Equations (26) and (27) are indeed equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} -(\pi + \rho)^T (\gamma + \delta) + (\alpha + \beta)^T (\xi + \eta) = Id \\ -(\pi - \rho)^T (\gamma - \delta) + (\alpha - \beta)^T (\xi - \eta) = Id \end{cases}$$

So Σ is symplectic if and only if it satisfies the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} -(\pi - \rho)^T (\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha - \beta)^T (\pi + \rho) = 0 & (28) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -(\xi - \eta)^T (\gamma + \delta) + (\gamma - \delta)^T (\xi + \eta) = 0 & (29) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -(\pi + \rho)^T (\gamma + \delta) + (\alpha + \beta)^T (\xi + \eta) = Id & (30) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} -(\pi - \rho)^T (\gamma - \delta) + (\alpha - \beta)^T (\xi - \eta) = Id & (31) \end{cases}$$

but after transposition of (29) and (30), this turns out to be equivalent to the condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\xi + \eta)^T & -(\gamma + \delta)^T \\ -(\pi - \rho)^T & (\alpha - \beta)^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha + \beta) & (\gamma - \delta) \\ (\pi + \rho) & (\xi - \eta) \end{pmatrix} = Id$$

which is simply

$$(-J\tau^T J)\sigma = Id.$$

□

Let us introduce the group⁵

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \beta & \alpha & -\delta & -\gamma \\ \pi & \rho & \xi & \eta \\ -\rho & -\pi & \eta & \xi \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(4g_0, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

It is understood that the matrices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \pi, \rho, \xi$ and η belong to $Mat(g_0, \mathbb{R})$.

Notice that both $\mathcal{G}_{2g_0,1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$ are contained in it.

Let us also define

$$\mathbf{P} : \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1} \longrightarrow Mat(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$$

as follows:

$$\mathbf{P}(\Sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \beta & \gamma - \delta \\ \pi + \rho & \xi - \eta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall \Sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1}$$

Many properties of this map can be deduced from lemma 3.1:

Corollary 3.2. *The map $\mathbf{P}$ is a homeomorphism from $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1}$ to $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$, this last one consisting of all real invertible matrices of dimension $2g_0$.*

Moreover it respects both matrix product

$$\mathbf{P}(\Sigma\Sigma') = \mathbf{P}(\Sigma)\mathbf{P}(\Sigma') \quad \forall \Sigma, \Sigma' \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1}$$

and transposition

$$\mathbf{P}(\Sigma^T) = [\mathbf{P}(\Sigma)]^T \quad \forall \Sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1}$$

Proof. The inverse of $\mathbf{P}$ is the map

$$\mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$$

defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{Q}(\sigma) = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \left(\sigma, -J(\sigma^{-1})^T J \right) \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$$

⁵One can easily verify that this is a group using the argument quoted in footnote 4

Notice that the image of $\mathbf{Q}$ is contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1}$ because of point **v** of the lemma. Since both $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ are continuous, this last one is a homeomorphism. Moreover $\mathbf{P}$ respects both matrix product and transposition due to point **ii** and **iii** of the lemma. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *The map $\mathbf{P}$ restricts to a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$ to $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. From point **iv** of lemma 3.1, one immediately has that

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}) \subset \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}).$$

Viceversa, let σ belong to $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$. The matrix

$$-J(\sigma^{-1})^T J = J^T (\sigma^{-1})^T J$$

is also positive definite.

From the proof of the previous corollary, we know that

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}(\sigma) = \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\sigma, -J(\sigma^{-1})^T J) \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{2g_0,1}.$$

In view of point **iv** of the lemma, this last matrix is also positive definite and so it belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}) = \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Finally let us introduce the group

$$\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1} = \left\{ g \in \mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ s.t. } g^T J g = J + 2M, \text{ for some } M \in \text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

One has the following

Corollary 3.4. *The map $\mathbf{P}$ restricts to an isomorphism of groups from $\mathbf{G}_{2g_0,1}$ to $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$.*

Proof. Let G belong to $\mathbf{G}_{2g_0,1}$ and put

$$\mathbf{G}(G) = (\sigma, \tau).$$

From point **v** of the lemma, one has that

$$(-J\tau^T J)\sigma = Id \tag{32}$$

Since both σ and τ have integer coefficients, this implies that

$$\sigma \in \mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Equation (32) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\tau^T J \sigma = J. \tag{33}$$

On the other side, from definition (20) one has that

$$\tau = \sigma - 2\tilde{M} \tag{34}$$

for some $\tilde{M}$ belonging to $\text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$, in this case. Plugging (34) into (33) one obtains that

$$\sigma^T J \sigma = J + 2M$$

for some M belonging to $\text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$.

Viceversa, let g belong to $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$. Let us put

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \beta & \alpha & -\delta & -\gamma \\ \pi & \rho & \xi & \eta \\ -\rho & -\pi & \eta & \xi \end{bmatrix} \quad g \in \mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1} \quad (35)$$

From the proof of corollary 3.2 we know that

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}(g) = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \left(g, -J(g^{-1})^T J \right) \quad g \in \mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}. \quad (36)$$

Recalling the definition of $\mathbf{G}$ one can easily write

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \pi & \xi \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left[g - J(g^{-1})^T J \right], \quad \begin{bmatrix} \beta & -\delta \\ \rho & -\eta \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left[g + J(g^{-1})^T J \right] \quad (37)$$

Now, since

$$g^T J g = J + 2M$$

for some M belonging to $\text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$, one also has that

$$g + J(g^{-1})^T J = 2\tilde{M} \quad (38)$$

for some $\tilde{M}$ belonging to $\text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$. Since g has an inverse with integer entries, it follows immediately that

$$g - J(g^{-1})^T J = 2\tilde{N} \quad (39)$$

for some $\tilde{N}$ belonging to $\text{Mat}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$.

Equations (37) together with (38) and (39) imply that $\mathbf{P}^{-1}(g)$ has integer coefficients. So it belongs to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$. $\square$

Remark 3.5. The whole modular group $\mathbf{Sp}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$ is contained in $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$. For every matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ E & G \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$$

one has that

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & C & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 & -C \\ E & 0 & G & 0 \\ 0 & -E & 0 & G \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ E & G \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to show that the argument of the function $\mathbf{P}$ in the left-hand side belongs to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$.

Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & -Id \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$$

the following inclusion also holds:

$$\mathbf{Sp}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & -Id \end{bmatrix} \subset \mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}.$$

For every

$$\begin{bmatrix} B & -D \\ F & -H \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & -Id \end{bmatrix}$$

one has that

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B & 0 & D \\ B & 0 & -D & 0 \\ 0 & F & 0 & H \\ -F & 0 & H & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} B & -D \\ F & -H \end{bmatrix}$$

and the argument of $\mathbf{P}$ again belongs to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$.

4 Reduction of the Problem

We can now reformulate further the original problem, using the instruments developed in the previous section. This process bears the name of reduction because it halves the dimension of the matrices involved in the issue.

Proposition 4.1. *The following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(\cdot G)} & \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1} \\ \downarrow \mathbf{P} & & \downarrow \mathbf{P} \\ \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(\cdot \mathbf{P}(G))} & \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \quad G \in \mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1} \quad (40)$$

is commutative for every G belonging to $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$.

Proof. Let Σ and G belong to $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ respectively. Due to corollary 3.2 one has

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathfrak{C}(\Sigma, G)] = \mathbf{P}[G^T \Sigma G] = [\mathbf{P}(G)]^T \mathbf{P}(\Sigma) \mathbf{P}(G), \quad \Sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}, G \in \mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}.$$

On the other side, simply by definition,

$$\mathfrak{C}[\mathbf{P}(\Sigma), \mathbf{P}(G)] = [\mathbf{P}(G)]^T \mathbf{P}(\Sigma) \mathbf{P}(G), \quad \Sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}, G \in \mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}.$$

□

As a consequence, one has the following

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}''$ be a fundamental domain for the congruent action of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$. Then the set*

$$\mathcal{D}' := \mathbf{P}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}'')$$

is a fundamental domain for the congruent action of $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\mathcal{S}_{2g_0,1}$.

Proof. The proof is a standard argument based on proposition 4.1 and corollaries 3.3 and 3.4. □

The original problem is thus equivalent to the quest of such a $\mathcal{D}'$. The remaining sections will be dedicated to this issue. This will also emphasize the full advantage of our approach.

Another consequence of proposition 4.1 is worth pointing out:
For every $\mathbf{w}$ belonging to $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$, let us define

$$I(\mathbf{w}) = \det[(\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathbf{w})] \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}. \quad (41)$$

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{w}$ and G belong to $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$ respectively. Consider the modular transformation*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w}).$$

One has

$$I(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = I(\mathbf{w}).$$

Proof. In view of commutative diagram (16),

$$\Sigma[\mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w})] = \mathfrak{C}[\Sigma(\mathbf{w}), G^{-1}] \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}, G \in \mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1}$$

Taking the image via $\mathbf{P}$ of both sides, and applying proposition 4.1

$$(\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)[\mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w})] = \mathfrak{C}[(\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{P}(G^{-1})].$$

Considering the determinants, and recalling the explicit definition (7),

$$\det\{(\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)[\mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w})]\} = \det[\mathbf{P}(G^{-1})]^2 \det[(\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathbf{w})]$$

The thesis follows from this last equation, in view of the fact that $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ is contained in $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$. $\square$

From corollary 3.3, one has

$$(\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}) = \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}).$$

As a consequence, the quantity I is not constant over the whole $\mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1}$. In other words, it is not a trivial invariant with respect to the modular action $\mathfrak{M}$ of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2g_0,1} \subset \mathbf{Sp}(4g_0, \mathbb{Z})$.

To the best of our understanding, no geometrical interpretation of this quantity is available so far.

5 The case $2g_0 = 2$

We start here to put in concrete action the previously developed abstract theory. The simplest case when $2g_0$ equals 2 allows an elegant solution, together with a deeper understanding of the structure of the problem.

Lemma 5.1. *One has*

$$\mathbb{K}_{2,1} = \mathbf{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (42)$$

Moreover, if G belongs to $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$, there are only two possible cases: either

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & -c \\ e & 0 & g & 0 \\ 0 & -e & 0 & g \end{bmatrix}, \quad ag - ec = 1 \quad (43)$$

or

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b & 0 & d \\ b & 0 & -d & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & h \\ -f & 0 & h & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad bh - fd = 1. \quad (44)$$

Proof. As pointed out in remark 3.5, one has the following inclusions:

$$\mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{K}_{2,1}, \quad \mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \subset \mathbb{K}_{2,1}.$$

On the other side,

$$\mathbf{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cup \mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This gives (42).

Now, let G belong to $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$. Then, either

$$\mathbf{P}(G) \in \mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

or

$$\mathbf{P}(G) \in \mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

More explicitly, one has

$$\mathbf{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ e & g \end{bmatrix} \quad ag - ec = 1 \quad (45)$$

in the former case, and

$$\mathbf{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} b & -d \\ f & -h \end{bmatrix} \quad bh - fd = 1 \quad (46)$$

in the latter one.

Always in view of remark 3.5, (45) and (46) yield (43) and (44) respectively. $\square$

Remark 5.2. The explicit characterization of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$ given in the previous lemma can also be obtained by a direct calculation, starting from (2)

By means of (42) we are reconducted to a classical problem whose solution is well-known:

Let us indicate with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi & \chi \\ \chi & \psi \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_{>0}(2, \mathbb{R}) \quad (47)$$

the generic element of $Sym_{>0}(2, \mathbb{R})$. A fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}'$ for the congruent action of the group $\mathbb{K}_{2,1} = \mathbf{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on this space is described by the following system of inequalities

$$\mathcal{D}' : \begin{cases} \phi \leq \psi \\ -\phi \leq 2\chi \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

This result was already known to Lagrange and Hermite.

Let us denote with

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma + i\delta & i\beta \\ i\beta & -\gamma + i\delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1} \quad \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R} \quad (49)$$

the generic element of $\mathcal{W}_{2,1}$.

The imaginary part of $\mathbf{w}$ is positive definite if and only if

$$\delta > |\beta|.$$

For the map Σ defined in (14) one has the following explicit expression:

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\delta^2 - \beta^2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta & -\beta & -\gamma\delta & -\gamma\beta \\ -\beta & \delta & \gamma\beta & \gamma\delta \\ -\gamma\delta & \gamma\beta & \delta(\gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2) & \beta(\gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2) \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma\delta & \beta(\gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2) & \delta(\gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying $\mathbf{P}$ to both sides of this equality, one gets

$$(\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\delta + \beta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\gamma \\ -\gamma & \gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (50)$$

These simple facts, together with the results of the previous sections, suffice to prove the following

Theorem 5.3. *A fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$ for the modular action $\mathfrak{M}$ of the group $\mathbb{G}_{2,1} \subset \mathbf{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ over $\mathcal{W}_{2,1}$ is described by the following system of inequalities:*

$$\mathcal{D} : \begin{cases} 1 \leq \gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2 \\ 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \delta > |\beta| \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

Proof. Due to corollaries 4.3 and 2.2, such a fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$ can be found by simply considering

$$\mathcal{D} = (\mathbf{P} \circ \Sigma)^{-1}(\mathcal{D}').$$

In view of (50), one gets an explicit description of this set operating the substitution

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\delta + \beta}, \quad \chi = -\frac{\gamma}{\delta + \beta}, \quad \psi = \frac{\gamma^2 + \delta^2 - \beta^2}{\delta + \beta}$$

into the system (48). This leads to (51). $\square$

With this result, the original problem can be considered completely solved when $2g_0$ equals 2.

The theory developed up to now, though, allows a deeper insight into the structure of the modular action of $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$ on $\mathcal{W}_{2,1}$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let us introduce the following system of coordinates on the space $\mathcal{W}_{2,1}$:*

$$I(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\delta - \beta}{\delta + \beta} \quad \tau(\mathbf{w}) = \gamma + i\sqrt{\delta^2 - \beta^2}, \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1}. \quad (52)$$

The square root is chosen to be positive.

Consider the modular transformation given by an element of $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathfrak{M}(G, \mathbf{w}) \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1}, G \in \mathbb{G}_{2,1}. \quad (53)$$

In terms of the new coordinates, this acts as follows:

$$I(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = I(\mathbf{w}) \quad (54)$$

and

$$\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \frac{a\tau(\mathbf{w}) + c}{e\tau(\mathbf{w}) + g} \quad (55)$$

if G has the form (43), while

$$\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \frac{\overline{b\tau(\mathbf{w})} - d}{f\overline{\tau(\mathbf{w})} - h} \quad (56)$$

if (44) holds.

Proof. The explicit expression for $I(\mathbf{w})$ in (52) agrees with its general definition given in section 4. To see this it is sufficient to plug (50) into (41). Formula (54) is just the content of corollary 4.3.

Gluing together (16) and (40) one gets the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{M}(G, \cdot)} & \mathcal{W}_{2g_0,1} \\ \text{P} \circ \Sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{P} \circ \Sigma \\ \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}(\cdot, \text{P}(G^{-1}))} & \text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \quad G \in \mathbb{G}_{2,1}. \quad (57)$$

Let us introduce

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{I(\mathbf{w})}} [(\text{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathbf{w})], \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1}$$

where the square root is again chosen to be positive. Using (57) one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{I(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})}} [(\text{P} \circ \Sigma)(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{I(\tilde{\mathbf{w}})}} \left\{ \mathfrak{C}[(\text{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathbf{w}), \text{P}(G^{-1})] \right\} \\ &= [\text{P}(G^{-1})]^T \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{I(\mathbf{w})}} [(\text{P} \circ \Sigma)(\mathbf{w})] \right\} [\text{P}(G^{-1})] \\ &= [\text{P}(G^{-1})]^T [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w})] [\text{P}(G^{-1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

In view of definition (41), one has that

$$\det(\mathbf{u}) = 1 \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1}.$$

This means that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w})$ is not only symmetric and positive definite but also symplectic for every $\mathbf{w}$ belonging to $\mathcal{W}_{2,1}$. As a consequence of theorem 2.1, then, there exists a unique point $\tau(\mathbf{w})$ in the Siegel upper half plane of degree one such that

$$\Sigma(\tau(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w}), \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{2,1}$$

Using (14),(50) and (41) one can verify that this definition of τ coincides with the more explicit one given in (52).

Now, suppose that G has the form (43). In this case,

$$\mathbf{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ e & g \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

By point ii of theorem 2.1, (58) gives (55).

Let G have the form (44), instead. One has that

$$\mathbf{P}(G) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b & d \\ f & h \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{Sp}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Equation (58) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) = \left[\left(\mathbf{P}(G) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \right]^T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(\mathbf{P}(G) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1}. \quad (59)$$

By means of (14), one can verify that

$$\Sigma(-\overline{\tau(\mathbf{w})}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{w}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again by point ii of theorem 2.1 equality (59) implies (56) □

Proposition 5.5. *Consider the modular transformation associated to an element of $\mathbb{G}_{2,1}$, like the one in (53). One has*

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{\beta}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\beta).$$

Proof. The explicit expression for $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{w})$ given in (52) implies that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{w}) > 1 & \text{if } -\delta < \beta < 0 \\ \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{w}) = 1 & \text{if } \beta = 0 \\ \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{w}) < 1 & \text{if } 0 < \beta < \delta \end{cases}$$

On the other side, in view of (54) one has

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{w}) \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}) \geq 1.$$

It follows that

$$\beta \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\beta} \geq 0.$$

□

6 The general case

At the beginning of the last century Minkowski studied the congruent action of $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on $Sym_{>0}(n, \mathbb{R})$: he exhibited, for every $n \geq 2$, a fundamental domain $\mathcal{M}$ which can be described by a finite set of inequalities

$$f_1(\sigma) \geq 0, f_2(\sigma) \geq 0, \dots, f_{m_n}(\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \sigma \in Sym_{>0}(n, \mathbb{R}) \quad (60)$$

where $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{m_n}$ are linear homogeneous expressions of the entries of σ . For low dimensions these expressions were explicitly determined: see [9] and [10].

After considerations of the previous sections, we now need a fundamental domain for the congruent action, on $Sym_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$, of the group $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$, which is strictly contained in $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$ for $2g_0 \geq 4$.

The index of this subgroup is finite. It can be easily computed and it equals⁶

$$\frac{\text{card}(\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}_2))}{\text{card}(\mathbf{Sp}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}_2))}$$

(this is an advantage of the reduction procedure). In similar situations, a standard technique seems to be the following one:

- Select a representative for each left coset of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ in $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$.
- Act with each representative on the Minkowski fundamental domain $\mathcal{M}$ and consider the union of all the sets obtained this way.
- If its interior part is connected, this union is a fundamental domain for $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$.

As we are not aware of any reference for the theoretical justification of this procedure, we formulate one suitable for this setting here below

Notation. Let g be an element of $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. The symbol $\mathfrak{C}_g$ will denote the map

$$\mathfrak{C}_g : Sym_{>0}(n, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow Sym_{>0}(n, \mathbb{R})$$

defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{C}_g(\sigma) = \mathfrak{C}(\sigma, g) = g^T \sigma g, \quad \sigma \in Sym_{>0}(n, \mathbb{R}).$$

⁶Consider the quotient map

$$\phi : \mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

which associates to every unimodular matrix its class modulo 2 elementwise: it is surjective and

$$\phi(\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}) = \mathbf{Sp}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

(see [7], lemma 4 and [8] theorem 1 respectively); moreover

$$\text{Ker}(\phi) \subset \mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}.$$

Because of these facts ϕ induces a bijection between the left cosets of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ in $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$ and the ones of $\mathbf{Sp}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ in $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let σ be an interior point of $\mathcal{M}$, and $g \in \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma, g) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $g = \pm Id$.*

Proof. By definition of fundamental domain, if $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma, g) \in \mathcal{M}$ then

$$\mathfrak{C}(\sigma, g) = \sigma.$$

Now, let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma$ be a neighborhood of σ contained in $\mathcal{M}$. Due to the continuity of the map $\mathfrak{C}_g$ there exists a neighborhood of σ , say $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma$, such that

$$\mathfrak{C}_g(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma.$$

Let us put $\mathcal{B}_\sigma = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma \cap \text{int}(\mathcal{M})$. The points of $\mathcal{B}_\sigma$ are all interior to $\mathcal{M}$ and remain inside $\mathcal{M}$ when the map $\mathfrak{C}_g$ is applied, so one has

$$\mathfrak{C}_g(\rho) = \rho, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma.$$

But $\mathcal{B}_\sigma$ is an open subset of $\text{Sym}_{>0}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{C}_g$ is a linear map, so

$$\mathfrak{C}_g = Id_{\text{Sym}_{>0}(n, \mathbb{R})}.$$

This implies that $g = \pm Id$. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}$. Every neighborhood of σ contains interior points of $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is a convex set with non-empty interior. $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Let σ be an interior point of*

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i)$$

for some $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $g \in \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy

$$\mathfrak{C}(\rho, g) = \sigma$$

for some $\rho \in \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$g = \pm g_i \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_\sigma$ be a neighborhood of σ contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i)$, and let $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ be a neighborhood of ρ such that

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{B}_\rho, g) \subset \mathcal{B}_\sigma$$

(such a neighborhood exists due to continuity of the map $\mathfrak{C}_g$). Let ν be an interior point of $\mathcal{M}$ contained in $\mathcal{B}_\rho$; then

$$\mathfrak{C}(\nu, g) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i),$$

that is

$$\mathfrak{C}(\nu, g) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i) \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathfrak{C}(v, gg_i^{-1}) \in \mathcal{M} \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

From this, applying lemma 6.1 one gets

$$gg_i^{-1} = \pm Id \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

□

Proposition 6.4. *Let us consider the left cosets of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ in $\mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$*

$$L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m,$$

and fix a representative for each of them:

$$g_1 \in L_1, g_2 \in L_2, \dots, g_m \in L_m.$$

If

$$\text{int} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i) \right) \tag{61}$$

is a connected set then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i)$$

is a fundamental domain for the congruent action of $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ on $\text{Sym}_{>0}(2g_0, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. The nontrivial part of the proof is the verification of point ii. of definition 1.1.

Let ρ be an interior point of $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i)$ and σ belong to $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_1)$; also suppose that

$$\rho = \mathfrak{C}(\sigma, g) \tag{62}$$

for some $g \in \mathbf{GL}(2g_0, \mathbb{Z})$. This relation can be rewritten as

$$\rho = \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C}(\sigma, g_1^{-1}), (g_1 g)),$$

where $\mathfrak{C}(\sigma, g_1^{-1})$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}$. Applying lemma 6.3 one gets

$$g_1 g = \pm g_k \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

that is

$$g = \pm g_1^{-1} g_k \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Now, if $k = 1$ then $g = \pm Id$ and $\sigma = \rho$.

If $k \neq 1$, instead, g does not belong to $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$, because by construction g_1 and g_k belong to different cosets.

In the same way, one can treat the case in which σ belongs to $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_j)$ for $j = 2, \dots, m$ and finally prove that ρ cannot be equivalent (in the $\mathbb{K}_{2g_0,1}$ -sense) to any other point of $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_i)$. □

At this point, the issue is about selecting a set of representatives such that the set in (61) is connected. Next lemma gives a working criterion to individuate some identifications on the border of $\mathcal{M}$ which will serve as "building blocks" in this task.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $g \in \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Let us assume that there exists a point $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ with the following two properties:*

- *There exists an integer k_ρ such that*

$$f_{k_\rho}(\rho_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_j(\rho_0) > 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq k_\rho.$$

- *Let us put $\sigma_0 = \mathfrak{C}(\rho_0, g)$; there exists an integer k_σ such that*

$$f_{k_\sigma}(\sigma_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_j(\sigma_0) > 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq k_\sigma.$$

(In particular, σ_0 belongs to $\mathcal{M}$.)

Then the set

$$\text{int}(\mathcal{M} \cup \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g))$$

is connected.

Proof. There exists an open ball $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}$ with center in ρ_0 such that every ρ belonging to $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}$ satisfies

$$f_j(\rho) > 0, \quad j \neq k_\rho.$$

If the radius of $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}$ is sufficiently small, its image through $\mathfrak{C}_g$, say $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}$, has the analogous property: every σ belonging to $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}$ satisfies

$$f_j(\sigma) > 0, \quad j \neq k_\sigma.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}$ satisfy both properties above, and define the following three sets:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^+ = \{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho_0} \text{ such that } f_{k_\rho}(\rho) > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^- = \{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho_0} \text{ such that } f_{k_\rho}(\rho) < 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^0 = \{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho_0} \text{ such that } f_{k_\rho}(\rho) = 0\}.$$

Analogously we define $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^+$, $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^-$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^0$.

Consider $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^0$. Suppose that

$$f_{k_\sigma}(\mathfrak{C}(\rho, g)) > 0.$$

Then $\mathfrak{C}(\rho, g)$ belongs to the interior of $\mathcal{M}$ and is equivalent to another point of $\mathcal{M}$, which is absurd. Suppose instead that

$$f_{k_\sigma}(\mathfrak{C}(\rho, g)) < 0$$

and put $\rho = \rho_0 + \nu$. Then $\rho_0 - \nu$ still belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^0$ and one easily proves that

$$f_{k_\sigma}(\mathfrak{C}((\rho_0 - \nu), g)) > 0,$$

which again is absurd. It follows that

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^0, g) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^0,$$

This implies that there are only two possibilities:

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^+, g) = \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^+ \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^+, g) = \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^- \quad (63)$$

Since both $\mathcal{B}_{\rho_0}^+$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^+$ are open sets contained in the interior of $\mathcal{M}$, the first of (63) can occur only in the trivial case $g = \pm Id$. In all other cases, then, $\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0}^-$ is contained in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g)$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_{\sigma_0} \subset (\mathcal{M} \cup \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g)). \quad (64)$$

The thesis now follows from (64) by means of standard arguments of general topology. $\square$

Suppose now that the matrices

$$g^1, g^2, \dots, g^l \in \mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$$

all satisfy the hypotheses of lemma 6.5. More generally one can consider finite collections of matrices of the form

$$Id, g^{j_1}, g^{j_2} g^{j_1}, g^{j_k} g^{j_{k-1}} \dots g^{j_1}, \quad (65)$$

where $1 \leq j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k \leq l$. One can prove that also in this case the set

$$\text{int}(\mathcal{M} \cup \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g^{j_1}) \cup \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g^{j_2} g^{j_1}) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g^{j_k} g^{j_{k-1}} \dots g^{j_1}))$$

is connected.

It is possible to use this simple fact to try to determine algorithmically a set of matrices of $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ which satisfies the hypotheses of proposition 6.4.

We report an example here below.

6.1 The case $2g_0 = 4$

Let us denote by

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1,1} & \sigma_{1,2} & \sigma_{1,3} & \sigma_{1,4} \\ \sigma_{1,2} & \sigma_{2,2} & \sigma_{2,3} & \sigma_{2,4} \\ \sigma_{1,3} & \sigma_{2,3} & \sigma_{3,3} & \sigma_{3,4} \\ \sigma_{1,4} & \sigma_{2,4} & \sigma_{3,4} & \sigma_{4,4} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_{>0}(4, \mathbb{R})$$

the generic real, symmetric and positive definite matrix of dimension 4. A fundamental domain $\mathcal{M}$ for the congruent action of $\mathbf{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\text{Sym}_{>0}(4, \mathbb{R})$ can be obtained by imposing the following conditions:

i_

$$\sigma_{1,1} \leq \sigma_{2,2} \leq \sigma_{3,3} \leq \sigma_{4,4}.$$

ii_

$$\sigma_{1,2} \geq 0, \quad \sigma_{2,3} \geq 0, \quad \sigma_{3,4} \geq 0.$$

iii_

$$\mathbf{m} \cdot \sigma \cdot \mathbf{m}^T \geq \sigma_{i,i} \quad (66)$$

for every

$$\mathbf{m} \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0, 1), (-1, 1, 0, 0), (-1, 0, 1, 0), \\ (-1, 0, 0, 1), (0, -1, 1, 0), (0, -1, 0, 1), (0, 0, -1, 1), (0, 1, -1, 1), \\ (1, -1, 0, 1), (-1, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, -1, 1), (-1, 0, -1, 1), (1, -1, 1, 0), \\ (1, -1, 1, 1), (1, 1, -1, 1), (-1, -1, 1, 1), (-1, 1, -1, 1), (1, -1, -1, 1) \end{array} \right\},$$

the index i in the right-hand side of (66) depending on $\mathbf{m}$ as follows:

$$i := \max \left\{ j \text{ such that } m_j \neq 0, \mathbf{m} = (m_j)_{j=1}^4 \right\}.$$

This explicit result is due to E.S. Barnes and M.J. Cohn (see [9]). We used it to determine a fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}'$ for the congruent action of $\mathbb{K}_{4,1}$ on $Sym_{>0}(4, \mathbb{R})$. Our calculations are summarized here below:

The following elements of $\mathbf{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy the hypotheses of lemma 6.5:

$$\begin{aligned} g^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & g^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ g^3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & g^4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ g^5 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & g^6 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ g^7 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, & g^8 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ g^9 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, & g^{10} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ g^{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, & g^{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

This can be verified considering for each matrix above the corresponding point of $\mathcal{M}$ from the list below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_0^1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 11 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 \end{bmatrix}, & \rho_0^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 10 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 11 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\rho_0^3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & -5 & 1 \\ 1 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ -5 & 1 & 12 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 13 \end{bmatrix}, & \rho_0^4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & 1 & -5 \\ 1 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 12 & 1 \\ -5 & 1 & 1 & 13 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\rho_0^5 &= \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 12 & 1 & -6 \\ 1 & 1 & 13 & 1 \\ 1 & -6 & 1 & 14 \end{bmatrix}, & \rho_0^6 &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 5 & -1 & 1 \\ 5 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 12 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 13 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\rho_0^7 &= \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 12 & 6 & -1 \\ 1 & 6 & 13 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 14 \end{bmatrix}, & \rho_0^8 &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 11 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 12 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & 13 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\rho_0^9 &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & 7 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 & 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, & \rho_0^{10} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\rho_0^{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, & \rho_0^{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

A set of elements of $\mathbf{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the hypotheses of proposition 6.4 is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= Id, & g_{11} &= g^7 g^5 g^1, & g_{21} &= g^9 g^2, \\
g_2 &= g^1, & g_{12} &= g^{12} g^5 g^1, & g_{22} &= g^4, \\
g_3 &= g^3 g^1, & g_{13} &= g^7 g^1, & g_{23} &= g^6 g^4, \\
g_4 &= g^4 g^3 g^1, & g_{14} &= g^{10} g^7 g^1, & g_{24} &= g^6, \\
g_5 &= g^5 g^3 g^1, & g_{15} &= g^{10} g^1, & g_{25} &= g^2 g^6, \\
g_6 &= g^9 g^3 g^1, & g_{16} &= g^{12} g^{10} g^1, & g_{26} &= g^9 g^6, \\
g_7 &= g^4 g^1, & g_{17} &= g^{12} g^1, & g_{27} &= g^8, \\
g_8 &= g^7 g^4 g^1, & g_{18} &= g^2, & g_{28} &= g^{11}, \\
g_9 &= g^{12} g^4 g^1, & g_{19} &= g^3 g^2, & & \\
g_{10} &= g^5 g^1, & g_{20} &= g^6 g^2, & &
\end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

In fact, by means of a computer it is easy to verify that no two such matrices belong to the same left coset and, for what we observed above, the index of $\mathbb{K}_{4,1}$ in $\mathbf{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ is 28; so this list is composed of exactly one representative for each left coset. Moreover, (68) is the union of families of the type (65); thus it gives place to a set whose interior is connected.

We emphasize our final result in the following

Theorem 6.6. *A fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}'$ for the congruent action of $\mathbb{K}_{4,1}$ on $\text{Sym}_{>0}(4, \mathbb{R})$*

is given by

$$\mathcal{D}'' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{28} \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{M}, g_j)$$

where the matrices g_j are listed in (68).

6.2 Discussion

The method presented here works, in principle, when $2g_0$ is an arbitrary positive and even integer but it requires the explicit description of the Minkowski fundamental domain $\mathcal{M}$.

Such a description, though not completely non-redundant, is available for $2g_0 = 6$ (see [10]); it would be interesting to try to work out the calculations in this case, with a more systematic use of a computer.

To the best of our knowledge, no explicit description of $\mathcal{M}$ is yet available when $2g_0$ is equal or larger than 8.

7 Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Professor Boris Dubrovin for kindly supervising this work and Professor Tamara Grava for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] Minkowski H., Diskontinuitätsbereich für arithmetische Aequivalenz, *Ges.Abh.*, 2, 53-103, 1911.
- [2] Siegel C.L., *Topics in Complex Function Theory*, vol. II, Wiley-Interscience, 1971.
- [3] Cirre F.J., Bujalance E., Gromadzki G., Gamboa J.M., *Symmetries of Compact Riemann Surfaces* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2007, Springer, 2010.
- [4] Siegel C.L., *Topics in Complex Function Theory*, vol. III, Wiley-Interscience, 1973.
- [5] Fay J., *Theta Functions on Riemann Surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 352, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [6] Dubrovin B.A., Flickinger R., Segur H., Three-Phase Solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Equation, *Studies in Applied Mathematics* 99:137-203 (1997)
- [7] Newman M., Reiner I., Inclusion Theorems for Congruence Subgroups, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* Vol. 91, No.3 (Jun.,1959), 369-379.
- [8] Newman M., Smart J.R., Symplectic modular groups, *Acta Arithmetica* IX(1964), 83-89.

- [9] Barnes E.S., Cohn M.J., On Minkowski Reduction of Positive Quaternary Quadratic Forms, *Mathematika* 23(1976), 156-158.
- [10] Ryshkov S.S., The theory of Hermite-Minkowski Reduction of Positive Definite Quadratic Forms, *J. Soviet Math* 6:6 (1976), 651-671.
- [11] Belokolos E.D., Bobenko A.I., Enol'ski V.Z., Its A.R., Matveev V.B. *Algebro-Geometric Approach to Nonlinear Integrable Equations* Springer Series in Non-linear Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, 1994